

FOREWORD

The mission of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) is to assess the quantity and quality of the earth resources of the Nation and to provide information that will assist resource managers and policymakers at Federal, State, and local levels in making sound decisions. Assessment of water-quality conditions and trends is an important part of this overall mission.

One of the greatest challenges faced by water-resources scientists is acquiring reliable information that will guide the use and protection of the Nation's water resources. That challenge is being addressed by Federal, State, interstate, and local water-resource agencies and by many academic institutions. These organizations are collecting water-quality data for a host of purposes that include: compliance with permits and water-supply standards; development of remediation plans for a specific contamination problem; operational decisions on industrial, wastewater, or water-supply facilities; and research on factors that affect water quality. An additional need for water-quality information is to provide a basis on which regional and national-level policy decisions can be based. Wise decisions must be based on sound information. As a society we need to know whether certain types of water-quality problems are isolated or ubiquitous, whether there are significant differences in conditions among regions, whether the conditions are changing over time, and why these conditions change from place to place and over time. The information can be used to help determine the efficacy of existing water-quality policies and to help analysts determine the need for, and likely consequences, of new policies.

To address these needs, the Congress appropriated funds in 1986 for the USGS to begin a pilot program in seven project areas to develop and refine the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program. In 1991, the USGS began full implementation of the program. The NAWQA Program builds upon an existing base of water-quality studies of the USGS, as well as those of other Federal, State, and local agencies. The objectives of the NAWQA Program are to:

- Describe current water-quality conditions for a large part of the Nation's freshwater streams, rivers, and aquifers.
- Describe how water quality is changing over time.
- Improve understanding of the primary natural and human factors that affect water-quality conditions.

This information will help support the development and evaluation of management, regulatory, and monitoring decisions by other Federal, State, and local agencies to protect, use, and enhance water resources.

The goals of the NAWQA Program are being achieved through investigations of 60 of the Nation's most important river basins and aquifer systems, which are referred to as study units. These study units are distributed throughout the Nation and cover a diversity of hydrogeologic settings. More than two-thirds of the Nation's freshwater use occurs within the 60 study units and more than two-thirds of the people served by public water-supply systems live within their boundaries.

National synthesis of data analysis, based on aggregation of comparable information obtained from the study units, is a major component of the program. This effort focuses on selected water-quality topics using nationally consistent information. Comparative studies will explain differences and similarities in observed water-quality conditions among study areas and will identify changes and trends and their causes. The first topics addressed by the national synthesis are pesticides, nutrients, volatile organic compounds, and aquatic biology. Discussions on these and other water-quality topics will be published in periodic summaries of the quality of the Nation's ground and surface water as the information becomes available.

This report is an element of the comprehensive body of information developed as part of the NAWQA Program. The program depends heavily on the advice, cooperation, and information from many Federal, State, interstate, Tribal, and local agencies and the public. The assistance and suggestions of all are greatly appreciated.

Robert M. Hirsch
Chief Hydrologist

Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Purpose and scope	2
Study area description	2
Ecoregions	2
Physical and chemical characteristics of the basin	3
Acknowledgments	7
Methods	7
Site selection	7
Site characterization	8
Habitat.....	8
Instream habitat	9
Terrestrial habitat.....	11
Hydrology	12
Water quality	13
Fish collection	13
Data analysis.....	13
Physical and chemical environmental characteristics	15
Fish community composition.....	17
Relation of physical and chemical characteristics to fish community composition	17
Implications for water quality assessment	29
Summary	34
References.....	34
Supplemental information	39
Instream habitat	40
Terrestrial habitat	42
Hydrology.....	46
Water quality	48
Fish	52

Illustrations

Figure 1-4. Maps showing:

1. Location of the Red River of the North Basin study unit.....	3
2. Ecoregions and physiographic areas in the Red River of the North Basin study unit.....	4
3. Land use in the Red River of the North Basin study unit.....	5
4. Sampling sites in the Red River of the North Basin study unit.....	9
5. Model of environmental factors affecting fish community composition	16
6-19. Graphs showing:	
6. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) biplots of representative environmental variables in relation to fish community composition.	26

Illustrations—continued

6-19. Graphs showing (continued):

7. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) biplots of representative environmental variables in relation to sampling sites.	28
8. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) biplots of representative water-quality variables in relation to fish community composition.	30
9. Distribution of fish species in the Red River of the North Basin in relation to environmental gradients.	33
10. Cluster analysis dendrograph of ecological sites grouped by instream habitat measurements.	41
11. Cluster analysis dendrograph of instream habitat variable loadings from principal components analysis (PCA).....	43
12. Cluster analysis dendrograph of ecological sites grouped by terrestrial habitat characteristics.	44
13. Cluster analysis dendrograph of terrestrial habitat variable loadings from principal components analysis (PCA).....	46
14. Cluster analysis dendrograph of hydrologic variability loadings from principal components analysis (PCA).....	49
15. Cluster analysis dendrograph of ecological sites grouped by water quality	51
16. Cluster analysis dendrograph of water-quality variable loadings from principal components analysis (PCA).....	53
17. Cluster analysis dendrograph of fish species similarity at ecological sampling sites in the Red River of the North Basin.	54
18. Location of ecological sites on detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) axes	55
19. Species distribution with stream size as determined from detrended correspondence analysis (DCA).	56

Tables

Table	1. Information pertaining to fish sampling sites in the Red River of the North study unit	10
	2. Physical and chemical variables determined for sampling sites in the Red River of the North study unit.....	18
	3. Representative variables from each environmental data set and the variables represented	21
	4. Fish species collected in the Red River of the North and its major tributaries	22
	5. Summary of canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) of representative physical variables and fish community composition.....	24
	6. Weighted correlation matrix of representative physical variables and canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) axes	24

Tables—continued

7. Test for significance of constrained physical variables.....	25
8. Partial canonical correspondence analysis (CCA)	25
9. Summary of canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) of water-quality and fish community composition	29
10. Summary of principal components analysis (PCA) of instream habitat variables	42
11. Summary of principal components analysis (PCA) of terrestrial habitat characteristics	45
12. Summary of principal components analysis (PCA) of hydrologic variability	48
13. Summary of principal components analysis (PCA) of water-quality variables	52

Conversion Factors, Vertical Datum, and Abbreviated Water-Quality Units

<u>Multiply</u>	<u>By</u>	<u>To obtain</u>
centimeter (cm)	0.3937	inch
meter (m)	3.281	foot
kilometer (km)	.6214	mile
square kilometer (km ²)	.3861	square mile
cubic meter per second (m ³ /s)	35.31	cubic foot per second
degree Celsius (°C)	1.8 (°C) + 32	degree Fahrenheit (°F)

Chemical concentrations are given in metric units. Chemical concentrations of substances in water are given in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (µg/L). Milligrams per liter is a unit expressing the concentration of chemical constituents in solution as weight (milligrams) of solute per unit volume (liter) of water. One thousand micrograms per liter is equivalent to one milligram per liter. For concentrations less than 7,000 mg/L, the numerical value is the same as for concentrations in parts per million.

Sea level In this report “sea level” refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called “Sea Level Datum of 1929”.

Use of brand names in this report is for identification purposes only and does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

